IELTS Writing Task 2



Historical objects should be brought back to their country of origin. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Answer:

Presently, certain people are claiming for their historical pieces to be repatriated to their nation of origin which are mostly housed in Western museums. In my opinion, these historical pieces of evidence, though have been obtained in a fair manner but reclamation of this will fade away the past resentment caused by colonial powers.

The institutions in recent times have initiated arguments based on the fact that they have judicial rights. They further state that those artifacts have been illegitimately embezzled, though mostly they have been delivered back. Artifacts today in exhibitions have a proof of sale. While scrutinizing, the modern witnessers find the prices of the artifacts disgracefully low but during the time of its display, the prices were agreed upon, and reshuffling the prices is unlawful on a legal basis. In this regard, the British Museum of England has presented explicit testimonials that the artifacts they acquired were all legitimately bargained an agreed upon. Asking to restore a sold-out product is never entertained, and demanding historical products will be treated in a similar way.

Previously, many African countries witnessed their artifacts being plundered by the colonialists. The powers were chiefly in the hands of the white or the European nations like the UK, Germany, France, Netherlands, Spain, and more. Now when they display the unfairly acquired artifacts from the underprivileged places of Africa, that too at tremendously high prices, anticipated acrimony arises. If these artifacts are restored to

the original nations, a certain percentage of humiliation can be abated. The colonized people will feel the urge to move past the animosity.

To conclude, it can be stated that though the historical artifacts were acquired after agreement but restoring them their possessions will normalize the hostile relations among nations.